

1 David Fink (*pro hac vice*)
7519 Apache Plume
2 Houston, TX 77071
Tele. 713 729-4991
3 Fax. 713 729-4951
litigationlaw@comcast.net
4

5 Duncan M. McNeill
1514 Van Dyke Avenue
6 San Francisco, CA 94124
Tele. 415 752-5063
7 dmcneill1@netzero.com
Fed. Bar No. 136416
8

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff ,
FUZZYSHARP TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 OAKLAND DIVISION
13

14 FUZZYSHARP TECHNOLOGIES)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 07-05948 SBA
15 INCORPORATED,)	
16 Plaintiff,)	NOTICE OF RELATED CASES
17 v.)	
18 3DLABS CO., LTD.)	
19 Defendant.)	
20 _____)	

21
22 During the Case Management Conference call June 11, 2008, the Court raised the
23 issue of related cases, particularly other cases filed by the Plaintiff for the same issues and
24 the Plaintiff was ordered to provide information about related cases no later than June 25,
25 2008.

26
27 **NOTICE OF RELATED CASES**
28 FuzzySharp Technologies, Inc. v. 3DLabs Co. Ltd. CV 07-05948 SBA

1 In addition, the Court ordered a telephone conference for July 30, 2008 at 3:15
2 PM to determine if the present case will be reassigned.

3 The undersigned attorney wants to apologize for not filing a notice of related
4 cases previously due to his misunderstanding of the requirement. The Judge kindly
5 clarified this misunderstanding. It is respectfully pointed out that there was no attempt on
6 the part of the Plaintiff or its attorney to hide or withhold information. As indicated
7 during the Conference call, the attorney for the Defendant, Mark L. Pettinari, was already
8 familiar with the fact that the Plaintiff had previous litigation relating to the patents in
9 suit.

10 The following is a list of prior litigation by the Plaintiff for at least one of the patents in
11 the present litigation and involving at least one of the patent claims being asserted in the
12 present litigation:

13 1. Defendant: Hewlett-Packard Co.

14 Case: 01-04915 MJJ

15 Filed: December 14, 2001

16 Dismissed by Stipulation after Mediation.

17 The Court had no Hearings other than the Case Management Conference,
18 and the Court did not make any decisions on the merits or claim
19 construction.

20 2. Defendant: Silicon Graphics Inc. (SGI)

21 Case: 03-04404 SBA

22 Filed September 30, 2003

23 Dismissed by Stipulation after Mediation.

24 The Court had no Hearings other than the Case Management Conference,
25 and the Court did not make any decisions on the merits or claim
26 construction.

27 **NOTICE OF RELATED CASES**

28 FuzzySharp Technologies, Inc. v. 3DLabs Co. Ltd. CV 07-05948 SBA

1 3. Defendant: I-O Devices USA, Inc.

2 Case: 04-02640 MHP

3 Filed: June 30, 2004

4 Dismissed by Stipulation after I-O Devices changed its mind about
5 entering the business

6 The Court made no decisions other than the Dismissal.

7 4. Defendant: ATI Technologies, Inc.

8 Case: 01318 CW

9 Filed: March 31, 2005

10 Dismissed by Stipulation

11 The Court had no Hearings other than the Case Management Conference,
12 and the Court did not make any decisions on the merits or claim
13 construction.

14 5. Defendant: S3 Graphics Co., Ltd.

15 Case: 07-02262 PJH

16 Filed: April 25, 2007

17 Dismissed by Stipulation after Mediation.

18 The Court had no Hearings other than the Case Management Conference,
19 and the Court did not make any decisions on the merits or claim
20 construction.

21 Respectfully Submitted

22
23 /s/David Fink

24 David Fink

25 Attorney for Plaintiff FuzzySharp Technologies
26 Incorporated

27 **NOTICE OF RELATED CASES**

28 FuzzySharp Technologies, Inc. v. 3DLabs Co. Ltd. CV 07-05948 SBA